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Important Information

About the Study: The Alpha and Beta of ESG Investing

Please note that the study is based on past performance of hypothetical portfolios of stock securities and is no guarantee of future results. The study covers calendar years 2010-2017 only and has not been updated for later periods. The study’s conclusions do not represent performance for other time periods and should not be used to suggest any past or future performance for any portfolio or security. Hypothetical securities trading does not involve financial risk and cannot completely account for the impact of financial risk. In addition, hypothetical results do not reflect the impact that material economic and market factors might have had.

Methodology

The study focuses on an analysis of the period from January 2010 to December 2017. Regarding the ESG data, the study uses metrics provided by the Amundi ESG Research department. We assessed the ESG score and its three components: E (environmental), S (social) and G (governance). The scoring system was developed from the ESG data of five external providers, and was cleaned, normalized and checked by Amundi ESG analysts to create one composite ESG score for each company. The scores were updated for each company on each date in which a portfolio is rebalanced. The scores are sector-neutral. In this study, we considered five investment universes covered by MSCI indices: MSCI North America, MSCI EMU, MSCI Europe ex EMU, MSCI Japan and MSCI World. The study defines three types of ESG investing strategies established for research purposes, and which are not specific to any actual product. The strategies include: active management (or stock picking portfolios), passive management (or optimized index portfolios), and factor investing portfolios.

For more detailed information about this study, please see “How ESG Investing Has Impacted the Asset Pricing in the Equity Market” Discussion Paper.

Amundi Pioneer Asset Management is the US business of the Amundi Asset Management group of companies. Investment advisory services are offered through Amundi Pioneer Asset Management, Inc. and Amundi Pioneer Institutional Asset Management, Inc. (collectively “Amundi Pioneer”). Not all Amundi products and services are available in all jurisdictions.

In the US, securities are offered through Amundi Pioneer Distributors, Inc. Underwriter of the Pioneer funds, 60 State Street, Boston MA 02109

For Institutional Use Only. Not for Use with the Public.
RESPONSIBLE INVESTING AND PORTFOLIO RISK & RETURN PROFILE: A CHANGE OF PARADIGM

As one of our founding pillars, responsible investing is at the core of Amundi’s identity. We have been thriving to support the development of responsible investing across financial markets by:

– Continuously generating innovative products and strategies across asset classes in order to transform theory into practice;
– Participating to market initiatives to collectively shape responsible investment standards; and
– Contributing to the academic debate to objectively challenge ourselves on current methodologies and traditional approaches.

So far, responsible investing has been mostly about convictions, as there was mixed academic evidence supporting a relationship between risk, reward and ESG-induced investing.

Academics had actually found that best-in-class ESG stocks and/or worst-in-class ESG stocks could be remunerated. But the results for these academic studies have been plagued by the fact that (i) the observation period (often based on a long-term, twenty to thirty years horizon) could not have been probing insofar as (ii) the existence of robust extra-financial data only dates back to the early 2010s.

By targeting the period between 2010 and 2017, during which we believe that ESG could effectively be materialized by using our proprietary ESG scores and Environmental, Social, and Governance sub-component scores, Amundi has found significant results highlighting the impact of ESG investing on returns.

1. ESG stands for Environmental, Social and Governance considerations.
INVESTORS MOBILIZING AROUND ESG ISSUES ARE IMPACTING ESG STOCK PRICES

With rising awareness around ESG issues worldwide, institutional investors have started to massively look into responsible investment. The latter has grown substantially in Europe and in North America in the past 5 years.

When an alpha strategy is massively implemented, it becomes a beta strategy.

In Europe, the massive mobilization of institutional investors regarding ESG investing has impacted demand mechanisms, with a subsequent effect on prices, thereby also having triggered a performance premium.

Starting with extensive media coverage around the much anticipated COP21, responsible investment came at center stage with the adoption of the Paris Agreement and the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals in 2015. Increased scrutiny on ESG-related issues and the advent of major controversies heightened pressure for corporates, as scandals impacted share prices. These events were a wakeup call for the financial community: the One Planet Summit in 2017 triggered the mobilization of key actors of the financial community such as sovereign wealth funds and, more recently, central banks. As ESG is an issue that can no longer be overlooked, regulators are joining the conversation: the European Union is working on a sustainable investing framework to provide a harmonized approach toward responsible investing in Europe; in the UK, the Department for Work and Pensions has proposed far-reaching changes in the pension schemes investments, whereby pension funds must provide insights on how they account for financially material ESG considerations; and the list goes on.

It is hence clear that investors’ behaviors on financial markets have made responsible investing material in the Eurozone and in North America, although ESG may improve diversification only in the Eurozone at this stage. This means that forward looking, institutional investor’s mobilization is crucial for responsible investing, and this trend is expected to continue.

“Investors mobilization has been key in making ESG material into stock prices, by directly affecting demand and indirectly affecting supply mechanisms. With the growing momentum around responsible investment, this trend is expected to grow stronger.”

Thierry Roncalli, Head of Quantitative Research
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The study focused on the period 2010-2017 and used Amundi's proprietary ESG scores and Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) sub-components to screen portfolios. The analysis was conducted for passive, active and multi-factor portfolios for Europe and North America, among others.

1. Between 2010-2013, being a responsible investor would have tended to penalize both active and passive European and North American portfolios. Between 2010-2013 only Environmental-focused passive investors in the Eurozone would have enjoyed outperformance, while effects of Governance-focused and Social-focused portfolios on performance were neutral or negative.

2. From 2014-2017, responsible investing was generally a source of outperformance in the Eurozone and North America. In the Eurozone, all pillars (Environmental, Social and Governance) and ESG score integration displayed positive returns, with the Governance pillar dominating. In North America, ESG investing during the 2014-2017 period also displayed positive returns, although the Environmental component is the largest winner.

3. Our study identifies several performance generation mechanisms, demonstrating that stock prices can integrate ESG-related information at different levels. These mechanisms were dependent on the geographic region studied, the ESG focus, the ranking (best / worst-ranking or all gradients) and the period studied.

**Overall, in North America between 2014-2017**

(i) ESG- portfolios’ excess return increased with the ESG score;
(ii) only best-in-class stocks were remunerated for Environmental-focused portfolios, and only since 2016 for Social-focused portfolios;
(iii) and only worst-in-class stocks were penalized for Governance-focus portfolios.

**Similarly, in the Eurozone between 2014-2017**

(i) both best-in-class stocks were remunerated and worst-in-class stocks were penalized for ESG- and Governance- focused portfolios, and only since 2016 for Social-focused portfolios;
(ii) and only best-in-class stocks were remunerated for Environmental-focused portfolios.

**Figure 1 – Overview of performance generation mechanisms per region and observation period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All gradients</th>
<th>Both Best-ranked &amp; Worst-ranked</th>
<th>Only Best-ranked</th>
<th>Only Worst-ranked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The excess return increases with the extra-financial ranking</td>
<td>The best-in-class stocks are remunerated and the worst-in-class stocks are penalized</td>
<td>Only the best-in-class stocks are remunerated</td>
<td>Only the worst-in-class stocks are penalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurozone</td>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America &amp; Eurozone</td>
<td>ESG</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All-in-all, the best-in-class stocks have been consistently remunerated in Environmental-focused portfolios across North America and the Eurozone between 2014-2017.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diversification profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG-induced performance improvements must be implemented carefully: there was a tipping point beyond which ESG score improvements reduced the investment universe and hence, negatively impacted diversification and performance. When improving the ESG score of a portfolio, the investment universe is reduced which can lead to a reduction of the diversification if the constraint is too strong.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible investing has become a beta strategy in Eurozone (as ESG is a risk factor), but has remained an alpha strategy in North America (as ESG is not a risk factor). Introducing ESG as a factor into a multi-factor approach of portfolio construction added value by improving the diversification in the Eurozone, but not in North America.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG screening did not necessarily improve drawdown management. ESG screening did not significantly reduce the maximum drawdown of portfolios for both the 2010-2013 and 2014-2017 periods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To seize the benefits of ESG investing for the portfolio profile during the period, passive investors needed to accept additional, yet controlled, tracking error compared with capitalization-weighted benchmarks. Beyond accepting additional – yet controlled – tracking error, combining ESG with passive investment may imply the need to design ESG-based Strategic Asset Allocation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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